## **REMARKS**

Claims 1 through 3 are currently pending in the application.

This amendment is in response to the Office Action of December 15, 2003.

## Double Patenting Rejection Based on U.S. Patent 6,611,467

Claims 1 through 3 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 through 3 of U.S. Patent 6,611,467. In order to avoid further expenses and time delay, Applicant elects to expedite the prosecution of the present application by filing a terminal disclaimer to obviate the double patenting rejection in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.321 (b) and (c). Applicant's filing of the terminal disclaimer should not be construed as acquiescence of the Examiner's double patenting or obviousness-type double patenting rejection. Attached is the terminal disclaimer and accompanying fee.

Applicant submits that claims 1 through 3 are clearly allowable.

Applicant requests the allowance of claims 1 through 3 and the case passed for issue.

Respectfully submitted,

James R. Duzan

Registration No. 28,393

Attorney for Applicant(s)

**TRASKBRITT** 

P.O. Box 2550

Salt Lake City, Utah 84110-2550

Senes R. Duga-

Telephone: 801-532-1922

Date: February 26, 2004

JRD/sls:djp
Document in ProLaw